

E-Filed on 2/20/08

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996
Facsimile (702) 949-8321
Telephone (702) 949-8320

Susan M. Freeman AZ State Bar No. 004199
Email: sfreeman@lrlaw.com
Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com
John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024
Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE
COMPANY,

USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS,
LLC,

USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST
DEED FUND, LLC,

USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED
FUND, LLC,¹

USA SECURITIES, LLC,²
Debtors.

Affects:

- ☐ All Debtors
☒ USA Commercial Mortgage Company
☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
☐ USA Securities, LLC

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR¹
Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR²

CHAPTER 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No.
BK-S-06-10725 LBR

**FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION OF
USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF
CLAIM BASED UPON
INVESTMENT IN MIDVALE
MARKETPLACE, LLC LOAN; AND
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Date of Hearing: March 25, 2008
Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for an order disallowing Proofs of Claim filed by individual investors ("Direct Lenders") against

¹ This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.

² This bankruptcy case was closed on December 26, 2007.

1 USA Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) that are based upon an investment in
2 the Midvale Marketplace, LLC Loan (the “Midvale Loan”). This Objection is supported
3 by the Court’s record and the Declaration of Edward M. Burr in Support of Omnibus
4 Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in Midvale Marketplace, LLC
5 Loan filed with the Court today (the “Burr Decl.”).

6 **I. INTRODUCTION**

7 1. On about July 13, 2006, the borrower paid off the Midvale Loan in full. In
8 turn, USACM distributed the proceeds from the Midvale Loan to the Direct Lenders who
9 invested in the Midvale Loan. The Direct Lenders were thus paid in full, subject to
10 servicing fees and any other charges under their respective Loan Servicing Agreements
11 and this Court’s orders, including the Confirmation Order. Accordingly, there is no longer
12 any basis for a Direct Lender claim against USACM based upon an investment in the
13 Midvale Loan.

14 2. This Objection seeks to disallow Direct Lender claims that are based entirely
15 upon an investment in the Midvale Loan. Those claims are listed in **Exhibit A**, attached.

16 **II. JURISDICTION**

17 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334
18 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core
19 proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

20 2. The statutory predicate for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502
21 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

22 **III. BACKGROUND**

23 1. On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USACM, USA Securities, LLC (“USA
24 Securities”), USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC (“USA Realty”), USA Capital
25 Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC (“DTDF”), USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
26 (“FTDF,” together with DTDF, the “Funds”) (collectively, the “Debtors”), filed voluntary

1 petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtors continued to operate
2 their businesses, if any, as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of
3 the Bankruptcy Code. Debtors' post-petition management of the Debtors was under the
4 direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC
5 ("Mesirow"), who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

6 2. USACM is a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the
7 business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans
8 primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account.

9 3. That business included the solicitation of individual investors to purchase
10 fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors,
11 totaling approximately 3,600 as of the Petition Date, are referred to as "Direct Lenders" in
12 USACM's bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

13 4. Although USACM serviced and sometimes originated the loans in which the
14 Direct Lenders invested, generally USACM was not a borrower on these loans.

15 5. On September 14, 2006, the Court entered its Order Setting Deadline to File
16 Proofs of Claim and Proofs of Interest [Docket No. 1280] (the "Bar Date Order"). The
17 Bar Date Order established 5:00 p.m., prevailing Pacific Time, on November 13, 2006, as
18 the deadline ("Bar Date") for creditors to file proof of claims.

19 6. On September 25, 2006, Debtors served a copy of the Bar Date Order on
20 their service lists [Docket No. 1358]. All Creditors, including the Direct Lenders, were
21 served with a copy of the Bar Date order as well [Docket No. 1358].

22 7. On November 6, 2006, a stipulation was filed and an order entered extending
23 the Bar Date for Direct Lenders only to file proofs of claim until January 13, 2007 [Docket
24 No. 1729].
25
26

1 8. On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the “Debtors’
2 Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization” as Modified Herein [Docket No.
3 2376] (the “Confirmation Order”).

4 9. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect
5 to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims.

6 10. Under the Plan, unsecured claims of Direct Lenders against USACM are
7 classified in Class A-5. Allowed Unsecured Claims “shall receive a beneficial interest in
8 the USACM Trust, and on account of their Allowed Claim may receive a Pro Rata Share
9 of the assets of the USACM Trust after satisfaction of all Allowed unclassified Claims,
10 Allowed Class A-1, A-2, and A-3 Claims, and all post-Effective Date fees, costs, and
11 expenses of implementation of the USACM Plan for USACM and the USACM Trust.”

12 11. The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was
13 March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee.

14 12. **Exhibit A** to this Objection lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that
15 appear to be based entirely upon an investment in the Midvale Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.)
16 For each claim listed, **Exhibit A** identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the
17 claimant’s address, and the total amount of the claim. The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are
18 referred to hereafter as the Midvale Claims. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.)

19 13. On or about July 13, 2006, the Midvale Loan was paid off in full, as
20 reflected in USACM’s books and records. (Burr Decl. ¶ 8.) Shortly thereafter, USACM
21 processed the payoff and distributed the proceeds to the Direct Lenders on the Midvale
22 Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 8.)

23 14. The Direct Lenders were in turn paid in full, subject to servicing fees and
24 any other charges under their respective Loan Servicing Agreements and this Court’s
25 orders, including the Confirmation Order. In general, the Direct Lenders received their
26 principal and interest on the Midvale Loan, less sums withheld for servicing fees, servicer

advances, Prepaid Interest and sums known as the 2% Holdback pursuant to the Confirmed Plan. On the Effective Date of the Plan, the Prepaid Interest was applied, a portion of the 2% Holdback was applied to the allowed claims of the Direct Lender Committee, and the balance paid to the Direct Lenders. (Burr Decl. ¶ 9.)

15. Accordingly, the USACM Trust no longer has further obligations to the Direct Lenders arising out of the Midvale Loan because, pursuant to USACM's books and records, the Midvale Loan has been repaid in full, and the Direct Lenders have received such payment. (Burr Decl. ¶ 10.)

IV. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

1. Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is "unenforceable against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b).

2. The USACM Trust is entitled to object to proofs of claim under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). This objection is timely under the confirmed Plan, as the deadline for such objections has been extended to February 12, 2008, by this Court's orders.

3. A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996). The ultimate burden of proof as to the validity of a proof of claim "remains at all times upon the claimant." *Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc. (In re Lundell)*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000).

Susan M. Freeman, AZ 4199 (*pro hac vice*)
 Rob Charles, NV 6593
 John C. Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)
 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
 Telephone: (702) 949-8200
 Facsimile: (702) 949-8398
 E-mail: rcharles@lrlaw.com
Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust

LEWIS
AND
ROCA
LLP
LAWYERS

1 Copy of the foregoing mailed by first class
2 Postage prepaid U.S. Mail on
3 February 20, 2008 to:

4 All parties in interest listed on
5 Exhibit A attached.

6 /s/ Renee L. Creswell
7 Renee L. Creswell
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26